	Case 3:11-cv-00685-RCJ-WGC Document	16 Filed 08/03/12 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	CASEY J. O'CONNELL and BECKY A.	)
9	O'CONNELL,	
10	Plaintiffs,	) ) 3:11-cv-685-RCJ-WGC
11	V.	ORDER
12	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14	<del></del>	<b>(</b> )
15	On September 13, 2011, Plaintiffs Casey and Becky O'Connell filed a complaint in	
16	Nevada state court against Defendants JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.; La Salle Bank, N.A.;	
17	Washington Mutual Bank, F.A.; and California Reconveyance Company (collectively	
18	"Defendants"). (Compl. (#1-2) at 1). The complaint contains three causes of action related	
19	to the foreclosure of Plaintiffs' home. (Id. at 9-12). The complaint was later removed to this	
20	Court on September 22, 2011. (Pet. for Removal (#1)).	
21	Defendants filed a motion to dismiss pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) on June 18,	
22	2012. (Mot. to Dismiss (#14)). Plaintiffs failed to respond to the motion to dismiss.	
23	Under Nevada Local Rule 7-2(d), "[t]he failure of an opposing party to file points and	
24	authorities in response to any motion shall constitute a consent to the granting of the motion."	
25	The "[f]ailure to follow a district court's local rules is a proper ground for dismissal." Ghazal.	
26	v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995).	
27	Plaintiffs here failed to file a response to Defendants' motion to dismiss. Under Nevada	
28	Local Rule 7-2(d), Plaintiffs are therefore deemed to consent to the granting of the motion.  Accordingly, the Court dismisses the complaint with prejudice.	
	Accordingly, the Court distrilsses the complai	nt with prejudice.

## Case 3:11-cv-00685-RCJ-WGC Document 16 Filed 08/03/12 Page 2 of 2

For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that Defendants' motion to dismiss (#14) is GRANTED and the action is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. DATED: This 3rd day of August, 2012. United States District Judge